

Thermal boundary conditions as constraints

C. D. Fosco^a
 A. P. C. Malbouisson^b
 and I. Roditi^b

^a*Centro Atómico Bariloche and Instituto Balseiro
 Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica
 8400 Bariloche, Argentina.*

^b*Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas - CBPF/MCT
 Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud, 150, 22290-180 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil*

1st February 2008

Abstract

We introduce the boundary conditions corresponding to the imaginary-time (Matsubara) formalism for the finite-temperature partition function in $d + 1$ dimensions as *constraints* in the path integral for the vacuum amplitude (the zero-temperature partition function). We implement those constraints by using Lagrange multipliers, which are static fields, two of them associated to each physical degree of freedom. After integrating out the original, physical fields, we obtain an effective representation for the partition function, depending only on the Lagrange multipliers. The resulting functional integral has the appealing property of involving only d -dimensional, *time independent* fields, looking like a non local version of the classical partition function. We analyze the main properties of this novel representation for the partition function, developing the formalism within the context of two concrete examples: the real scalar and Dirac fields.

1 Introduction

About fifty years ago, in a pioneering work [1], the theoretical foundations for a systematic treatment of Quantum Field Theory (QFT) at finite temperature ($T > 0$), were laid down. That approach, the now called Matsubara

(or imaginary time) formalism has been very successful indeed in allowing for the evaluation of thermal effects in QFT, both in the High Energy ¹ and Condensed Matter Physics realms.

Another landmark in the subsequent development of that formalism was introduced in [4], where Matsubara's work was extended to *relativistic* QFT. The crucial discovery of the time-periodicity (antiperiodicity) conditions for the bosonic (fermionic) Greens's functions opened the door to a quite natural (and fruitful) extension of many concepts and ideas originally introduced at $T = 0$, to the finite temperature context. To name just a few examples: the thermal Ward-Takahashi relations, Goldstone's theorem, the Kubo-Martin-Schwinger (KMS) relations and the renormalization group at $T > 0$. Besides, the notion of Abelian and non Abelian gauge fields, with all its consequences for particle physics [2, 3, 5] could also be set up in the finite temperature context in a quite natural way.

In the path-integral framework for the imaginary time formalism, thermal effects depend strongly on the fact that the imaginary-time coordinate is compactified. That is, fields corresponding to a $d + 1$ -dimensional theory, must be defined on $S^1 \times R^d$, where the radius of S^1 is proportional to the inverse temperature. This fact has, of course, many important consequences for the construction of topologically non-trivial solutions [6] since they naturally depend upon the global properties of the spacetime manifold (unlike, for example, the UV structure of the theory). On the other hand, the topologically trivial sector is also affected by the fact that the frequencies become *discrete* variables (the Matsubara frequencies).

A feature of the Matsubara formalism (shared by the real-time formulation) is that a time dependence for the fields is unavoidable, even when one limits oneself to the calculation of static thermal properties. With the aim of constructing a new representation where only static fields are involved, in this paper, we introduce an alternative way of dealing with finite-temperature QFT. It is inspired by a recent paper in which a constrained functional integral approach is used to implement the effect of fluctuating boundaries in the Casimir effect [7]. In the present context, this allows one to introduce the periodicity conditions by means of Lagrange multipliers (which are d -dimensional when the field lives in $d + 1$ dimensions). Then the original fields can be integrated, what leaves a functional depending only on the d -dimensional Lagrange multipliers. Besides the economy due to the 'dimensional reduction', there are also some new interesting properties, as we shall show in the different sections of this article.

The structure of this paper is as follows: in section 2, we introduce the

¹See, for example [2, 3].

constraint formalism to deal with $T > 0$, for the simplest possible example, i.e., the harmonic oscillator. Equipped with these results, the case of the real scalar field is dealt with in section 3, both for the free and the self-interacting cases. In section 4 we consider the Dirac field, and in 5, we present our conclusions.

2 Harmonic oscillator

We shall introduce the method by considering the simplest (yet non trivial) example, the harmonic oscillator. In the subsequent sections, the same approach shall be extended, in a quite natural way, to interacting QFT's.

Let us begin by writing the phase-space path integral [8] for $\mathcal{Z}_0$, the *vacuum* functional for the harmonic oscillator:

$$\mathcal{Z}_0 = \int \mathcal{D}p \mathcal{D}q \exp \{ - S_0[q(\tau), p(\tau)] \} , \quad (1)$$

where S_0 is the imaginary time first-order action:

$$S_0[q(\tau), p(\tau)] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau [-ip(\tau)\dot{q}(\tau) + \mathcal{H}_0(p(\tau), q(\tau))] \quad (2)$$

with $\mathcal{H}_0$ denoting the classical Hamiltonian,

$$\mathcal{H}_0(p, q) = \frac{1}{2}(p^2 + \omega^2 q^2) \quad (3)$$

(for simplicity, we have assumed that the oscillator has unit mass). We have introduced in (1) the formal expression for the phase-space path integral integration measure:

$$\mathcal{D}p \mathcal{D}q \equiv \prod_{-\infty < \tau < \infty} \frac{dp(\tau)dq(\tau)}{2\pi} , \quad (4)$$

whose precise definition may, of course, be given in terms of a discrete approximation to the path integral ².

On the other hand, in the Matsubara formalism, $\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta)$, the *thermal* partition function (for the same system) can be written as:

$$\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) = \int_{\text{periodic}} \mathcal{D}p \mathcal{D}q e^{\int_0^\beta d\tau [ip\dot{q} - \frac{1}{2}(p^2 + \omega^2 q^2)]} , \quad (5)$$

²The reason why the phase space path integral (rather than the more usual configuration space one) is used, will become clear at the end of this section.

where the integration is taken over periodic paths $q(\beta) = q(0)$, $p(\beta) = p(0)$ ³ and we have used units such that $\beta = \frac{1}{T}$, i.e., $k_B \equiv 1$.

To simplify matters, we shall measure the energies with respect to the vacuum (zero energy). For the partition function, this means that $\mathcal{Z}_0(\infty) \equiv 1$; hence, we shall absorb temperature-independent factors by introducing a suitably chosen normalization constant in $\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta)$.

To reproduce $\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta)$, we shall follow a quite straightforward procedure: we will impose the periodicity conditions for the phase space variables as constraints for the integration variables in $\mathcal{Z}_0$. To that end, we write:

$$\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) = \mathcal{N} \int \mathcal{D}p \mathcal{D}q \delta(q(\beta) - q(0)) \delta(p(\beta) - p(0)) e^{-S_0[q(\tau), p(\tau)]}, \quad (6)$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ is a constant, introduced to enforce the condition $\mathcal{Z}_0(\infty) \equiv 1$, and S_0 is the action defined in (2).

The imaginary-time τ runs from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$, while the constraints identify just the fields at 0 and β . Thus one should expect the emergence of a $\mathcal{Z}_0(\infty)$ factor in (6), due to the integration of fields outside of the interval $[0, \beta]$. That factor is, however, β -independent, and shall therefore be absorbed by $\mathcal{N}$.

To proceed, we exponentiate the two δ -functions, by means of (two) Lagrange multipliers ξ_1 and ξ_2 (they are just real variables in this case); we are thus lead to a new expression for $\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta)$, which may be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) &= \mathcal{N} \int \mathcal{D}p \mathcal{D}q \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi_1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi_2}{2\pi} \\ &\times e^{i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau \left[q(\tau) \xi_1 (\delta(\tau - \beta) - \delta(\tau)) + p(\tau) \xi_2 (\delta(\tau - \beta) - \delta(\tau)) \right]} \\ &\times e^{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \left[ip\dot{q} - \frac{1}{2}(p^2 + \omega^2 q^2) \right]}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The integral over p and q (which we shall perform first) is obviously Gaussian. Indeed, interchanging the order of integration, we see that (7) may be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) &= \mathcal{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi_1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi_2}{2\pi} \int \mathcal{D}Q \\ &\times e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau Q_a(\tau) \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{ab} Q_a(\tau) + i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau j_a(\tau) Q_a(\tau)}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where we have introduced $Q = (Q_a)$ ($a = 1, 2$) for the canonical coordinates, such that $Q_1 \equiv q$ and $Q_2 \equiv p$. Also, $j_a(\tau) \equiv \xi_a (\delta(\tau - \beta) - \delta(\tau))$, and $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{ab}$

³This symmetric form of the boundary conditions in the path integral for $\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta)$ is explained in [9].

are the elements of the 2×2 operator matrix $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}$, given by:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{K}} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega^2 & i \frac{d}{d\tau} \\ -i \frac{d}{d\tau} & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

The result of integrating out Q_a , may be written as follows:

$$\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) = \mathcal{N} 2\pi (\det \widehat{\mathcal{K}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int \frac{d^2 \xi}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \xi_a M_{ab} \xi_b}, \quad (10)$$

with

$$M \equiv 2\Omega(0) - \Omega(\beta) - \Omega(-\beta), \quad (11)$$

where $\Omega(\tau)$ denotes the inverse of the operator $\mathcal{K}$ of (9); its explicit form may be easily found to be:

$$\Omega(\tau) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2\omega} & \frac{i}{2} \text{sgn}(\tau) \\ -\frac{i}{2} \text{sgn}(\tau) & \frac{\omega}{2} \end{pmatrix} e^{-\omega|\tau|} \quad (12)$$

($\text{sgn} \equiv$ sign function). Equation (12) can be used in (11), to write:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \omega^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix} (n_B(\omega) + 1)^{-1}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$n_B(\omega) \equiv (e^{\beta\omega} - 1)^{-1} \quad (14)$$

is the Bose-Einstein distribution function.

The resulting integral over the ξ_a variables becomes:

$$\mathcal{Z}_B = \int \frac{d^2 \xi}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{\omega^{-1} \xi_1^2 + \omega \xi_2^2}{2[n_B(\omega) + 1]}}, \quad (15)$$

where we have set:

$$\mathcal{N} \equiv (\det \widehat{\mathcal{K}})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (16)$$

by the normalization convention. The resulting integral is over the two (real) variables ξ_a , which are 0-dimensional fields, one dimension less than the $0+1$ dimensional original theory.

It is interesting to see how this integral may be compared with the one corresponding to classical statistical mechanics. To that end, we evaluate the partition function in the classical (high-temperature) limit. In that limit we approximate the integrand and $\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta)$ becomes:

$$\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) \simeq \int \frac{d^2 \xi}{2\pi} e^{-\beta H(\xi_1, \xi_2)} \quad (\beta \ll 1), \quad (17)$$

where:

$$H(\xi_1, \xi_2) \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\xi_1^2 + \omega^2 \xi_2^2) . \quad (18)$$

We see that (17) corresponds exactly to the classical partition function for a harmonic oscillator, when the identifications: $\xi_1 = p$ (classical momentum), and $\xi_2 = q$ (classical coordinate) are made

$$\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) \simeq \int \frac{dp dq}{2\pi} e^{-\beta \frac{1}{2}(p^2 + \omega^2 q^2)} \quad (\beta \ll 1) . \quad (19)$$

On the other hand, had the exact form of the integral been kept (no approximation), we could still have written an expression similar to the classical partition function, albeit with an ‘effective Hamiltonian’ $H_{eff}(\xi_1, \xi_2)$:

$$\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) = \int \frac{d^2 \xi}{2\pi} e^{-\beta H_{eff}(\xi_1, \xi_2)} , \quad (20)$$

where:

$$H_{eff}(\xi_1, \xi_2) \equiv \frac{1}{2\beta} (n_B(\omega) + 1)^{-1} (\omega^{-1} \xi_1^2 + \omega \xi_2^2) . \quad (21)$$

This shows that the quantum partition function may also be written as a classical one, by using a β -dependent Hamiltonian, which of course tends to its classical counterpart in the high-temperature limit. This representation is also valid for interacting theories, as shown in 3.2.

By integrating out the Lagrange multipliers in the (exact) expression for the partition function (15), we obtain:

$$\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) = n_B(\omega) + 1 = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta\omega}} . \quad (22)$$

which is the correct result.

We emphasize an important fact that has emerged from an analysis of the classical (high-temperature) limit in this case, namely, the Lagrange multipliers have a physical interpretation in the classical limit: the one associated to the periodicity condition for q plays the role of the classical momentum, while the one corresponding to the periodicity for the momentum becomes a generalization of the classical coordinate. The same interpretation might also be retained far from the classical limit, but then the Hamiltonian departs from the classical one, receiving quantum corrections.

To conclude this section, we mention the fact that the (apparently) simpler procedure of working in terms of the *configuration space* path-integral yields an *incorrect* answer. Indeed, had one used that formulation, one should have needed to impose the periodicity constraint just for $q(\tau)$:

$$\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) = \mathcal{N} \int \mathcal{D}q \delta(q(\beta) - q(0)) \times e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau (\dot{q}^2 + \omega^2 q^2)} . \quad (23)$$

The δ -function could then have been easily exponentiated by using *just one* Lagrange multiplier, χ :

$$\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) = \mathcal{N} \int \mathcal{D}q \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\chi}{2\pi} e^{i\chi(q(\beta)-q(0))} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau (\dot{q}^2 + \omega^2 q^2)} . \quad (24)$$

Performing the Gaussian integral over $q(\tau)$ would have lead to:

$$\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) = \mathcal{N} \mathcal{Z}_0(\infty) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\chi e^{-Q(\chi)} , \quad (25)$$

where:

$$Q(\chi) \equiv \omega^{-1} [n_B(\omega) + 1]^{-1} \chi^2 . \quad (26)$$

Choosing $\mathcal{N}^{-1} \equiv \mathcal{Z}_0(\infty)$, and integrating out χ , we would have seen that

$$\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e^{-\beta\omega}}} \quad (wrong) \quad (27)$$

which is of course *incorrect*, due to the power 1/2 (we have neglected β -independent factors). The reason why this formulation yields an incorrect answer may be traced back to the fact that it corresponds to a different constraint. Indeed, the previous, phase space treatment, amounts to enforcing periodicity for the coordinates and their momenta (essentially, their time derivatives). Thus, it corresponds to requiring the periodicity for $q(\tau)$, and also the time independence of that constraint.

3 Real scalar field

3.1 Free partition function

In what is perhaps the most straightforward extension to QFT of the previous section's results, we shall consider here a real scalar field φ in $d+1$ (Euclidean) dimensions: $\varphi(x) = \varphi(\tau, \mathbf{x})$ where $x = (\tau, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{(d+1)}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{(d)}$.

The free Euclidean action, S_0 , defined in terms of the phase-space variables is

$$S_0 = \int d^{d+1}x \left[-i\pi \partial_\tau \varphi + \mathcal{H}_0(\pi, \varphi) \right] , \quad (28)$$

with

$$\mathcal{H}_0(\pi, \varphi) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left[\pi^2 + |\nabla \varphi|^2 + m^2 \varphi^2 \right] . \quad (29)$$

We then have to implement the periodic boundary conditions both for $\varphi(\tau, \mathbf{x})$ and its canonical momentum $\pi(\tau, \mathbf{x})$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(\beta, \mathbf{x}) &= \varphi(0, \mathbf{x}) , \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{(d)} \\ \pi(\beta, \mathbf{x}) &= \pi(0, \mathbf{x}) , \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{(d)} ,\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

which requires the introduction of two Lagrange multiplier fields, as in the previous section about the harmonic oscillator. We denote them by $\xi_a(\mathbf{x})$, $a = 1, 2$ (they are τ -independent).

To simplify matters, we now define a two-component field $\Phi = (\Phi_a)$, $a = 1, 2$, such that $\Phi_1 = \varphi$ and $\Phi_2 = \pi$. Then, an entirely analogous procedure to the one introduced for the harmonic oscillator yields:

$$\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) = \mathcal{N} \int \mathcal{D}\xi \int \mathcal{D}\Phi e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int d^{d+1}x \Phi_a \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{ab} \Phi_b + i \int d^{d+1}x j_a \Phi_a} ,\tag{31}$$

where:

$$j_a(x) \equiv \xi_a(\mathbf{x}) (\delta(\tau - \beta) - \delta(\tau)) .\tag{32}$$

Note that the measure for ξ is formally given by:

$$\mathcal{D}\xi \equiv \prod_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{d\xi_1(\mathbf{x}) d\xi_2(\mathbf{x})}{(2\pi)^2} ,\tag{33}$$

while:

$$\mathcal{D}\Phi \equiv \prod_{\tau, \mathbf{x}} \frac{d\varphi(\tau, \mathbf{x}) d\pi(\tau, \mathbf{x})}{2\pi} ,\tag{34}$$

and the operator matrix $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$ is:

$$\hat{\mathcal{K}} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{h}^2 & i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \\ -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} & 1 \end{pmatrix} ,\tag{35}$$

where we have introduced $\hat{h} \equiv \sqrt{-\nabla^2 + m^2}$, the first-quantized energy operator for massive scalar particles.

Performing the integral over Φ , and fixing $\mathcal{N}$ to verify the normalization condition, yields the partition function in terms of the Lagrange multipliers:

$$\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) = \int \mathcal{D}\xi e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int d^d x \int d^d y \xi_a(\mathbf{x}) \langle \mathbf{x} | \hat{M}_{ab} | \mathbf{y} \rangle \xi_b(\mathbf{y})} ,\tag{36}$$

with:

$$\hat{M} \equiv 2 \hat{\Omega}(0) - \hat{\Omega}(\beta) - \hat{\Omega}(-\beta) ,\tag{37}$$

and

$$\hat{\Omega}(\tau) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\hat{h}^{-1} & \frac{i}{2}\text{sgn}(\tau) \\ -\frac{i}{2}\text{sgn}(\tau) & \frac{1}{2}\hat{h} \end{pmatrix} e^{-\hat{h}|\tau|}. \quad (38)$$

Then,

$$\hat{M} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \hat{h}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{h} \end{pmatrix} (\hat{n}_B + 1)^{-1}, \quad (39)$$

where

$$\hat{n}_B \equiv \frac{1}{e^{\beta\hat{h}} - 1}. \quad (40)$$

Coming back to the expression for $\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta)$, we see that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) &= \int \mathcal{D}\xi \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int d^d x \int d^d y [\xi_1(\mathbf{x}) \langle \mathbf{x} | \hat{h}^{-1} (\hat{n}_B + 1)^{-1} | \mathbf{y} \rangle \xi_1(\mathbf{y}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \xi_2(\mathbf{x}) \langle \mathbf{x} | \hat{h} (\hat{n}_B + 1)^{-1} | \mathbf{y} \rangle \xi_2(\mathbf{y})] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

By a simple field redefinition, we see that:

$$\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) = \det(\hat{n}_B + 1) \quad (42)$$

which can be evaluated in the basis of eigenstates of momentum to yield:

$$\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) = \prod_{\mathbf{k}} [n_B(E_{\mathbf{k}}) + 1] \quad (43)$$

where $E_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + m^2}$. The free-energy density, $F_0(\beta)$, is of course:

$$F_0(\beta) = \frac{1}{\beta} \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \ln(1 - e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{k}}}). \quad (44)$$

For the sake of completeness, we derive here the explicit form of the path integral for the classical, high-temperature limit. When $\beta \sim 0$, the integral for the partition function becomes:

$$\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) \simeq \int \mathcal{D}\xi e^{-\beta H(\xi)}, \quad (45)$$

where:

$$H(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^d x [\xi_1^2(\mathbf{x}) + |\nabla \xi_2(\mathbf{x})|^2 + m^2 \xi_2^2(\mathbf{x})]. \quad (46)$$

This is, again, the usual classical expression for the partition function, with the Lagrange multipliers playing the role of phase space variables, and the integration measure being the corresponding Liouville measure. Besides, there

is another fact that becomes here more transparent than in the harmonic oscillator case, and we would like to point out. It is that the representation (41) always involves static fields, unlike in the Matsubara formalism. The price to pay for this ‘dimensional reduction’ is that the resulting ‘action’ (the exponent of the functional to be integrated) is spatially non local. It becomes local only in the high-temperature limit.

3.2 Self-interacting real scalar field

When field self-interactions are included, instead of the free action S_0 , we must consider instead

$$S = S_0 + S_I , \quad (47)$$

where the free action S_0 , has already been defined in (28), while S_I is a self-interaction term. We shall assume it to be of the type:

$$S_I = \int d^{d+1}x V(\varphi) , \quad (48)$$

$V(\varphi)$ being an even polynomial in φ , with only one (trivial) minimum. Proceeding along similar lines to the ones followed for the free field case in the preceding section, the partition function for the interacting system can be written in the form:

$$\mathcal{Z}(\beta) = \mathcal{N} \int \mathcal{D}\xi \int \mathcal{D}\Phi e^{-S(\Phi) + i \int d^{d+1}x j_a \Phi_a} , \quad (49)$$

where Φ , as well as the ‘current’ j_a have already been defined for the free case, in the previous subsection. The constant $\mathcal{N}$ is again introduced to satisfy $\mathcal{Z}(\infty) = 1$. On the other hand, since the fields are assumed to tend to zero at infinity, $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ implies that the term involving j vanishes in this limit. This means that

$$\mathcal{N}^{-1} = \int \mathcal{D}\xi \int \mathcal{D}\Phi e^{-S(\Phi)} . \quad (50)$$

There are many different paths one could follow from now on in order to evaluate the partition function. We choose to adopt a procedure that makes contact with quantities defined for QFT at $T = 0$, in such a way that the $T \neq 0$ theory is built ‘on top of it’.

Indeed, recalling the definition of the generating functional for connected correlation functions, $\mathcal{W}$, we may write:

$$\mathcal{N} \int \mathcal{D}\Phi \exp \left[-S(\Phi) + i \int d^{d+1}x j_a \Phi_a \right] \equiv e^{-\mathcal{W}(j)} , \quad (51)$$

so that the partition function $\mathcal{Z}(\beta)$ becomes:

$$\mathcal{Z}(\beta) = \int \mathcal{D}\xi \, e^{-\mathcal{W}(j)} . \quad (52)$$

We use the small j to denote the 2-component current which is a function of the Lagrange multipliers, as defined in (32). A capital J shall be reserved to denote a completely arbitrary 2-component source, so that:

$$\mathcal{N} \int \mathcal{D}\Phi \exp \left[-S(\Phi) + i \int d^{d+1}x J_a \Phi_a \right] \equiv e^{-\mathcal{W}(J)} . \quad (53)$$

Note that this generating functional yields correlation functions including φ or π legs, so it would seem to contain much more information than the standard one. That is not so, however, since $\mathcal{W}(J_1, J_2)$ is related to $\mathcal{W}(J_1) \equiv \mathcal{W}(J_1, 0)$, the standard generating functional of $T = 0$ φ -field correlation functions:

$$\mathcal{W}(J_1) \equiv -\ln \left\{ \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \, e^{-\int d^{d+1}x \left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}m^2\varphi^2 + V(\varphi) - iJ_1\varphi \right]} \right\} . \quad (54)$$

That relation that may be obtained, for example, by integrating out the canonical momentum field π (a Gaussian integral):

$$\mathcal{W}(J_1, J_2) = \mathcal{W}(J_1 - i\partial_\tau J_2) + \frac{1}{2} \int d^{d+1}x J_2^2(x) . \quad (55)$$

The outcome of the previous relation is that correlation functions including π legs may be obtained by combining correlation functions where all the canonical momenta have been replaced by scalar fields (at the same spacetime points), and derivatives of them.

Besides, taking into account the fact that our normalization for $\mathcal{Z}(\beta)$ implies that $\mathcal{W}(0, 0) = 0$, we are ready to write a formula for the path-integral representation we were looking for. Indeed, defining $H_{eff}(\xi)$, the ‘effective Hamiltonian’ for ξ , by means of the expression:

$$H_{eff}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\beta} \mathcal{W}(j) , \quad (56)$$

we see that the partition function is given by:

$$\mathcal{Z}(\beta) = \int \mathcal{D}\xi \, \exp [-\beta H_{eff}(\xi)] . \quad (57)$$

This is one of the most important results in this article, since it yields the path integral for the quantum partition function as a classical-looking functional

integral, involving an effective Hamiltonian which takes into account all the $T = 0$ quantum effects. Indeed, the usual functional expansion for $\mathcal{W}(J)$ is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}(J) &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int d^{d+1}x_1 \cdots d^{d+1}x_n \\ &\times \mathcal{W}_{a_1 \dots a_n}^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) J_{a_1}(x_1) \cdots J_{a_n}(x_n), \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

where $\mathcal{W}^{(n)}$ is i^n times the n -point connected correlation function for the field Φ . Thus, knowing those correlation functions, at a given order in a loop expansion, one may obtain an analogous functional expansion for the effective Hamiltonian. Indeed, to do that, one should perform the integrations over the imaginary-time variables (taking advantage of the δ -functions):

$$\begin{aligned} H_{eff}(\xi) &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int d^d \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots d^d \mathbf{x}_n H_{a_1 \dots a_n}^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) \\ &\times \xi_{a_1}(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots \xi_{a_n}(\mathbf{x}_n), \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{a_1 \dots a_n}^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) &\equiv \frac{1}{\beta} \int d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n \mathcal{W}_{a_1 \dots a_n}^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &\times (\delta(\tau_1 - \beta) - \delta(\tau_1)) \cdots (\delta(\tau_n - \beta) - \delta(\tau_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Of course, one will usually evaluate just a few of the terms in the expansion for $\mathcal{W}$, and as a consequence will obtain an approximation to the effective Hamiltonian. As an exercise, we evaluate the partition function that results from the first non-trivial approximation, namely, to keep only the first term, the 2-point correlation function ⁴:

$$H_{eff}(\xi) \sim H_{eff}^{(2)}(\xi) \quad (60)$$

where

$$H_{eff}^{(2)}(\xi) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{x}_1 d\mathbf{x}_2 \xi_a(\mathbf{x}_1) H_{ab}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \xi_b(\mathbf{x}_2) \quad (61)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ab}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) &= \frac{1}{\beta} \left[\mathcal{W}_{ab}^{(2)}(0, \mathbf{x}_1; 0, \mathbf{x}_2) + \mathcal{W}_{ab}^{(2)}(\beta, \mathbf{x}_1; \beta, \mathbf{x}_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \mathcal{W}_{ab}^{(2)}(\beta, \mathbf{x}_1; 0, \mathbf{x}_2) - \mathcal{W}_{ab}^{(2)}(0, \mathbf{x}_1; \beta, \mathbf{x}_2) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

⁴The meaning of this approximation shall be discussed at the end of this calculation.

Introducing Fourier transforms for the correlation functions $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{ab}$ and the kernel, $\widetilde{H}_{ab}^{(2)}$, we see that (62) implies:

$$\widetilde{H}_{ab}^{(2)}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{2}{\beta} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} [1 - \cos(\beta\omega)] \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{ab}^{(2)}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) . \quad (63)$$

On the other hand, the kernels $\mathcal{W}_{ab}^{(2)}$ can be obtained from the $T = 0$ correlation function $\mathcal{W}_{11}^{(2)}$ which is, essentially, the full quantum propagator for the φ -field:

$$\mathcal{W}_{11}^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) = - \langle \varphi(x_1) \varphi(x_2) \rangle . \quad (64)$$

Indeed, the explicit relations between the correlation functions $\mathcal{W}_{ab}^{(2)}$ with $a \neq 1$ or $b \neq 1$ and $\mathcal{W}_{11}^{(2)}$, in momentum space, are:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{22}^{(2)}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) &= 1 - \omega^2 \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{11}^{(2)}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{12}^{(2)}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) &= -\omega \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{11}^{(2)}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{21}^{(2)}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) &= \omega \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{11}^{(2)}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) . \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

At this point, in order to evaluate the frequency integrals, we need of course to make some assumptions about the structure of $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{11}^{(2)}$. We shall assume that there is only one stable particle, and that the renormalization conditions corresponding to the physical mass and wavefunction renormalization have been imposed. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{11}^{(2)}$ has only two single poles, at the points $\pm i E_{ren}(\mathbf{k})$, where $E_{ren}(\mathbf{k})$ is the dispersion relation, with a renormalized mass, and including all the quantum corrections.

Considering each component of equation (63) for specific values for a and b , we see that their respective ω integrals are easily evaluated under the previous assumptions. The results are:

$$\widetilde{H}_{11}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}) = E_{ren}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}) \left[n_B(E_{ren}(\mathbf{k})) + 1 \right]^{-1} , \quad (66)$$

$$\widetilde{H}_{22}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}) = E_{ren}(\mathbf{k}) \left[n_B(E_{ren}(\mathbf{k})) + 1 \right]^{-1} , \quad (67)$$

while $\widetilde{H}_{12}^{(2)} = \widetilde{H}_{21}^{(2)} = 0$.

Since the functional integral has the same structure as the one for the free case, to evaluate $\mathcal{Z}(\beta)$ in this approximation we just need to modify the expression for the energy in the free field result:

$$\mathcal{Z}(\beta) \sim \mathcal{Z}^{(2)}(\beta) \quad (68)$$

where

$$\mathcal{Z}^{(2)}(\beta) = \prod_{\mathbf{k}} [n_B(E_{ren}(\mathbf{k})) + 1] \quad (69)$$

which yields the free energy

$$F^{(2)}(\beta) = \frac{1}{\beta} \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \ln(1 - e^{-\beta E_{ren}(\mathbf{k})}) . \quad (70)$$

Of course, this expression looks like the free energy for a free field, but with the renormalized energies instead of the free ones. This approximation amounts, of course, to considering the particle states as non interacting, after the self-energy corrections have been taken into account (in the 2-point, $T = 0$ correlation function). A possible way to understand this approximation is by the large- N limit, whereby the correlation functions involving more than 2 points are suppressed, and the approximation used here becomes exact.

An interesting approximation scheme, related to the functional expansion, is given by the High-Temperature expansion. In terms of H_{eff} , we see that the only place where β appears is in the source j . Performing an expansion of j in powers of β :

$$j_a(x) = \xi_a(\mathbf{x}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\beta^n}{n!} \delta^{(n)}(\tau) , \quad (71)$$

and inserting this expansion into the expression for H_{eff} , we see that the kernels corresponding to its functional expansion become:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{a_1 \dots a_m}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m) &= \sum_{n_1=1, \dots, n_m}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{n_1 + \dots + n_m - 1}}{n_1! \dots n_m!} \\ &\times [\partial_{\tau_1} \dots \partial_{\tau_m} \mathcal{W}_{a_1 \dots a_m}^{(m)}(x_1, \dots, x_m)]_{\tau_i \rightarrow 0} . \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

We see from this expression that, when $\beta \rightarrow 0$, the leading term is linear in β and only $H^{(2)}$ survives:

$$H_{a_1 a_2}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \simeq \beta^2 [\partial_{\tau_1} \partial_{\tau_2} \mathcal{W}_{a_1 a_2}^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)]_{\tau_1, \tau_2 \rightarrow 0} . \quad (73)$$

We conclude this section mentioning the use of higher order approximations to the effective Hamiltonian, say, by keeping N terms in the expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{eff}^{(N)}(\xi) &= \sum_{n=2}^N \frac{1}{n!} \int d^d \mathbf{x}_1 \dots d^d \mathbf{x}_n H_{a_1 \dots a_n}^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) \\ &\times \xi_{a_1}(\mathbf{x}_1) \dots \xi_{a_n}(\mathbf{x}_n) , \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

(where only even values on n are non-vanishing in the sum). The resulting partition function naturally admits a perturbative expansion, with the quadratic kernel $H_{ab}^{(2)}$ determining the propagator, and the higher order terms defining nonlocal vertices.

4 Dirac field

We shall derive here the effective Hamiltonian for the partition function corresponding to a massive Dirac field in $d + 1$ spacetime dimensions. The procedure will be essentially the same as for the real scalar field, once the relevant kinematical differences are taken into account.

As in the previous section, we shall first deal with the free field case.

4.1 Free Theory

The action S_0^f for a free Dirac field ψ in $d + 1$ Euclidean dimensions is:

$$S_0^f = \int d^{d+1}x \bar{\psi}(x)(\not{\partial} + m)\psi(x), \quad (75)$$

where $\not{\partial} = \gamma_\mu \partial_\mu$, $\gamma_\mu^\dagger = \gamma_\mu$ and $\{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu}$.

The corresponding $T = 0$ partition function, $\mathcal{Z}_0^f(\beta)|_{\beta \rightarrow \infty}$, is then given by:

$$\mathcal{Z}_0^f(\infty) = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} e^{-S_0^f(\bar{\psi}, \psi)}, \quad (76)$$

where $\psi, \bar{\psi}$ are Grassmann-valued spinorial fields.

To derive the thermal partition function, $\mathcal{Z}_0^f(\beta)$, we must impose antiperiodicity conditions for both fields:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\beta, \mathbf{x}) &= -\psi(0, \mathbf{x}) \\ \bar{\psi}(\beta, \mathbf{x}) &= -\bar{\psi}(0, \mathbf{x}) \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

as constraints on the Grassmann fields in the path integral (76). Those conditions lead to the introduction of the two δ -functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_0^f(\beta) &= \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \delta(\psi(\beta, \mathbf{x}) + \psi(0, \mathbf{x})) \delta(\bar{\psi}(\beta, \mathbf{x}) + \bar{\psi}(0, \mathbf{x})) \\ &\times \exp \left[-S_0^f(\bar{\psi}, \psi) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

Note that, since the Dirac action is of the first-order, the introduction of two constraints, and two Lagrange multipliers, appears in an even more natural

way than for the previous case. Those auxiliary fields, denoted by $\chi(\mathbf{x})$ and $\bar{\chi}(\mathbf{x})$ must be Grassmann spinors depending on the spatial coordinates only. The resulting expression for $\mathcal{Z}_0^f(\beta)$ can then be written as follows:

$$\mathcal{Z}_0^f(\beta) = \mathcal{N} \int \mathcal{D}\chi \mathcal{D}\bar{\chi} \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} e^{-S_0^f(\bar{\psi}, \psi) + i \int d^{d+1}x (\bar{\eta}\psi + \bar{\psi}\eta)}, \quad (79)$$

where η and $\bar{\eta}$ are (Grassmann) sources depending on χ and $\bar{\chi}$ through the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(x) &= \chi(\mathbf{x}) [\delta(\tau - \beta) + \delta(\tau)] \\ \bar{\eta}(x) &= \bar{\chi}(\mathbf{x}) [\delta(\tau - \beta) + \delta(\tau)], \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

and the constant $\mathcal{N}$ is determined by imposing the usual normalization condition: $\mathcal{Z}_0^f(\infty) \equiv 1$.

Integrating out $\psi, \bar{\psi}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_0^f(\beta) &= \int \mathcal{D}\chi \mathcal{D}\bar{\chi} \exp \left[- \int d^{d+1}x \int d^{d+1}y \bar{\eta}(x) \langle x | (\not{\partial} + m)^{-1} | y \rangle \eta(y) \right] \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\chi \mathcal{D}\bar{\chi} \exp \left[- \beta H_{eff}(\bar{\chi}, \chi) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

where we have set $\mathcal{N}^{-1} \equiv \mathcal{Z}_0^f(\infty)$ and

$$H_{eff}(\bar{\chi}, \chi) = \int d^d x \int d^d y \bar{\chi}(\mathbf{x}) H^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \chi(\mathbf{y}) \quad (82)$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &= \langle \mathbf{x}, 0 | (\not{\partial} + m)^{-1} | \mathbf{y}, 0 \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x}, \beta | (\not{\partial} + m)^{-1} | \mathbf{y}, \beta \rangle \\ &+ \langle \mathbf{x}, 0 | (\not{\partial} + m)^{-1} | \mathbf{y}, \beta \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x}, \beta | (\not{\partial} + m)^{-1} | \mathbf{y}, 0 \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta} \left[2 S_f(0, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) + S_f(\beta, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) + S_f(-\beta, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

In the last line, S_f , denotes the Dirac propagator. Then a quite straightforward calculation shows that

$$H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{\beta} \langle \mathbf{x} | \hat{u} (1 - \hat{n}_F)^{-1} | \mathbf{y} \rangle \quad (84)$$

where

$$\hat{n}_F \equiv \left(1 + e^{\beta \hat{n}} \right)^{-1} \quad (85)$$

is the Fermi-Dirac distribution function, written in terms of $\hat{h}$, the energy operator (defined identically to its real scalar field counterpart). $\hat{u}$ is a unitary operator, defined as

$$\hat{u} \equiv \frac{\hat{h}_D}{\hat{h}}, \quad \hat{h}_D \equiv \gamma \cdot \nabla + m. \quad (86)$$

Then we easily verify that:

$$\mathcal{Z}_0^f(\beta) = \det \hat{u} \det^{-1}[(1 - \hat{n}_F) \mathbf{I}], \quad (87)$$

($\mathbf{I} \equiv$ identity matrix in the representation of Dirac's algebra)

$$\mathcal{Z}_0^f(\beta) = \left\{ \prod_{\vec{p}} \left[1 + e^{-\beta E(\vec{p})} \right] \right\}^{r_d} \quad (88)$$

with $E(\mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}$ and $r_d \equiv$ dimension of the representation.

We have used the fact that the determinant of $\hat{u}$ equals unity:

$$\det \hat{u} = \prod_{\mathbf{p}} \det \left[\frac{i\gamma \cdot \mathbf{p} + m}{\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}} \right] = 1. \quad (89)$$

Again, the procedure has produced the right result for the partition function.

4.2 Dirac field in a static external background

We begin by highlighting the main differences which appear when an external, static (we deal with equilibrium thermal QFT), Abelian gauge field is coupled to the Dirac field. The first is that the action becomes:

$$S^f(\bar{\psi}, \psi, A) = \int d^{d+1}x \left[\bar{\psi}(x) (\not{\partial} + i e \gamma \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) + m) \psi(x) \right], \quad (90)$$

where we have used the $A_0 = 0$ gauge. The assumed τ -independence of $\mathbf{A}$, together with our gauge choice, allows us to carry on the derivation described for the free case, with minor changes, arriving to the expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}^f(\beta) &= \det \hat{u}(\mathbf{A}) \det^{-1}(\hat{n}_F(\mathbf{A}) \mathbf{I}) \\ &= e^{iK(\mathbf{A})} \det \left[(1 + e^{-\beta \hat{h}(\mathbf{A})}) \mathbf{I} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

where

$$\hat{h}(A) \equiv \sqrt{-\mathbf{D}^2 + m^2}, \quad \mathbf{D} \equiv \nabla - i e \mathbf{A}, \quad (92)$$

and:

$$e^{iK(\mathbf{A})} = \frac{\det(\gamma \cdot \mathbf{D} + m)}{\det \sqrt{-\mathbf{D}^2 + m^2}}. \quad (93)$$

Notice that the factor $\det \left[(1 + e^{-\beta \hat{n}(\mathbf{A})}) \mathbf{I} \right]$ can be formally diagonalized in terms of the energies $E_\lambda(\mathbf{A})$ in the presence of the external field. Thus we arrive to the expression:

$$\mathcal{Z}^f(\beta) = e^{iK(\mathbf{A})} \times \left\{ \prod_{\lambda} \left[1 + e^{-\beta E_\lambda(\mathbf{A})} \right] \right\}^{r_d}. \quad (94)$$

The factor $e^{iK(\mathbf{A})}$, on the other hand, is topological in origin, as it depends on the phase, $K(\mathbf{A})$, of the determinant of $\hat{h}_D$, an operator that may be regarded as a Dirac operator in one fewer dimension. For Dirac fermions, we know that the phase of $\det \hat{h}_D$ can be non-trivial only when d is odd, i.e., when $d + 1$ is even. However, the γ -matrices appearing in $\det \hat{h}_D$ form a reducible representation of the Dirac algebra in d dimensions, with the matrix γ_τ relating every eigenvalue to its complex conjugate. Thus, as a result, the phase $K(\mathbf{A})$ vanishes. Of course, a non-vanishing result may be obtained for other fermionic systems, like Weyl fermions for $d + 1 = \text{even}$.

5 Conclusions

We have shown that, by introducing the ‘thermal’ boundary conditions as constraints in the Euclidean path integral for the vacuum functional, we may obtain a novel representation for the partition function. This representation may be thought of as an integral over the phase space variables, weighted by a Boltzmann factor corresponding to an effective quantum Hamiltonian, H_{eff} , which reduces to the classical one in the corresponding (high-temperature) limit.

We analyzed the main properties of this representation for the cases of the real scalar and Dirac fields, two typical examples that have been chosen for the sake of simplicity. It is not difficult to generalize the representation to the case of systems containing fermions interacting with bosons. For example, assuming that $S(\bar{\psi}, \psi, \Phi)$ is the first order action corresponding to a real scalar interacting with a Dirac field, we define the $T = 0$ generating functional $\mathcal{W}(\bar{\zeta}, \zeta, J)$ by:

$$\mathcal{W}(\bar{\zeta}, \zeta, J) = \mathcal{N} \int \mathcal{D}\Phi \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} e^{-S(\bar{\psi}, \psi, \Phi) + i \int d^{d+1}x (\bar{\zeta}\psi + \bar{\psi}\zeta + J_a \Phi_a)}, \quad (95)$$

where the sources are arbitrary. Then, H_{eff} can be obtained from the expression:

$$H_{eff}(\bar{\chi}, \chi, \xi) = \frac{1}{\beta} \mathcal{W}(\bar{\eta}, \eta, j), \quad (96)$$

where η , $\bar{\eta}$ and J are (the already defined) functions of the Lagrange multiplier fields $\bar{\chi}$, χ and ξ .

We conclude by mentioning that τ -dependent correlation functions can also be calculated, with minor changes in the formalism. Indeed, they may be obtained by adding an arbitrary time-dependent source to the current that depends on the constraints [10].

Acknowledgments

C.D.F. thanks CONICET (PIP5531) and CAPES/SPU for financial support, and acknowledges the warm hospitality of the CBPF, where part of this work was done. A.P.C.M. and I.R. thank CAPES/SPU, CNPq/MCT and Pronex/FAPERJ for partial financial support and Instituto Balseiro - CAB, where part of this work has been done, for kind hospitality.

References

- [1] T. Matsubara, Prog. Theor. Phys. **14**, 351 (1955).
- [2] J. I. Kapusta, *Finite-Temperature Field Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1989).
- [3] M. Le Bellac, *Thermal Field Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1996).
- [4] H. Ezawa, Y. Tomonaga and H. Umezawa, N. Cimento Ser. X, **5**, 810 (1957).
- [5] A. L. Fetter and J. D. Walecka, *Quantum Theory of Many-Particles Systems*, McGraw-Hill, New York (1971).
- [6] D. J. Gross, R. D. Pisarski and L. G. Yaffe, Rev. Mod. Phys. **53**, 43 (1981).
- [7] R. Golestanian and M. Kardar, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 1713 (1998).
- [8] Our path integral conventions are borrowed from:
J. Zinn-Justin, *Quantum field theory and critical phenomena*, Int. Ser. Monogr. Phys. **113** (2002) 1.
- [9] See, for example, equation (15.10), page 337 of M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, *Quantization of Gauge Systems*, Princeton University Press (1994).
- [10] C. D. Fosco, A. P. C. Malbouisson and I. Roditi, work in progress.